Name	!		



Size matters - and so does how you carry it!

Featured scientists: Jerry Husak (he/him) from the Univ. of St. Thomas and John Swallow (he/him) from the Univ. of Colorado-Denver. Written by: Sam Holloway (he/him)

Research Background:

In the wild, animals compete for limited resources. Things like food, water, shelter, and even reproductive mates can be hard to come by. Animals with traits and behaviors that make them more likely to survive and reproduce are said to have higher **evolutionary fitness**. Some animals have evolved special traits that advertise their fitness to potential mates. Male deer, elk, and moose have large antlers that they use to compete with other males, which demonstrates



The long eye stalks of the male stalk-eyed fly evolved to attract mates.

their fitness to females. Another interesting example is the stalk-eyed flies, in which the males grow long eye stalks to attract a mate. In these cases, females are more likely to choose males with the biggest traits.

Scientists have long predicted that these traits come with both benefits and costs. Large antlers or eyestalks may help a mate notice you, but also come with some costs. Extra weight takes more energy to move around and could make it more difficult to escape from predators. And yet, many studies have failed to find any measurable costs to males having these seemingly impractical traits.



John in the field when he first started his research – many decades ago!

This scientific mystery puzzled Jerry and John, who study stalk-eyed flies. They had failed to identify and document any costs to having longer eyestalks, measured as the distance between the eyes, or eyespan. Common sense told them that having longer eye stalks should make flying more awkward for these flies. However, their data did not support this hypothesis. "When I started collecting data, I focused a lot on the performance costs and got kind of fixated on that," John says of the team's initial research. "It was frustrating when we couldn't identify any actual decline in performance."

Name		

The team began looking for an alternative explanation. They read about research supporting a new idea in a completely different kind of flying animal - barn swallows. Male barn swallows have long, ornate tails. These tails make male barn swallows less aerodynamic during flight. But males have also evolved to have larger wings relative to their body size. This could help them compensate for the extra burden associated with their long tails.

Jerry and John wondered if a similar thing might be at work in stalk-eyed fly wings. Perhaps the male stalk-eyed flies, like male barn swallows, had evolved to have larger wings relative to their body size to help them compensate for long eye stalks when flying. If this were the case, then they expected to see a positive correlation between wing size and eyespan. Could this be why they were unable to measure any disadvantage associated with having longer, more awkward eye stalks? In other words, male stalk-eyed flies with larger wings would be able to support longer eye stalks.

Jerry, John, and their team decided to test their new hypothesis by raising stalk-eyed flies in the lab to maturity, then collecting data about their body length, eyespan, and wing area.

To account for natural variation in body size among stalk-eyed flies, the team needed to use "relative" measurements based on body size. With these kinds of measurements, a value of zero (0) means that wing size or eyespan is exactly what you would predict for a fly of that body size. Negative values mean that wing size or eyespan is smaller than you would predict for that body size, while positive values mean that wing size or eyespan is greater than you would predict for that body size. For example, if a fly has a relative eyespan of -0.010, then the distance between the eyestalks was 0.010 millimeters shorter than expected based on its body size.



Eyespan (horizontal arrow) and body size (vertical arrow) of a stalk-eyed fly.

<u>Scientific Question:</u> What is the relationship between male eyespan and wing area? Is there evidence that having larger wings can compensate for larger eye stalks?

<u>What is the hypothesis?</u> Find the hypothesis in the Research Background and underline it. A hypothesis is a proposed explanation for an observation, which can then be tested with experimentation or other types of studies.

Name	
------	--

Scientific Data:

Use the data below to answer the scientific question:

Fem	ales
Relative Wing Area	Relative Eyespan
-0.001	0.004
-0.013	0.020
-0.016	-0.001
0.015	0.007
-0.019	-0.015
-0.015	-0.005
0.007	0.004
0.018	0.011
0.007	0.004
0.033	-0.008
-0.010	-0.011
0.000	0.007
-0.004	0.012
0.034	0.014
0.003	-0.021
-0.015	0.016
0.001	0.007

Males				
Relative Wing Area	Relative Eyespan			
0.011	0.005			
0.022	0.025			
-0.007	-0.002			
0.007	0.000			
-0.015	-0.022			
0.010	0.002			
-0.002	-0.002			
-0.020	-0.015			
0.006	0.002			
-0.036	-0.014			
0.020	0.027			
0.005	-0.005			

Note: Measurements were taken in millimeters. If a fly has a relative eyespan of -0.010, then the eyespan is 0.010 millimeter shorter than expected based on its body size.

Which data will you graph to answer the question?

Independent variable(s):	
	_
Dependent variable(s):	
	_

Name			

<u>Draw your graphs below</u>: Identify any changes, trends, or differences you see in your graph. Draw arrows pointing out what you see and write one sentence describing what you see next to each arrow.





Name		

Make a claim that answers the scientific questions: What is the relationship between male eyespan and wing area? Is there evidence that having larger wings can compensate for the burden of larger eyestalks?

What evidence was used to write your claim? Reference specific parts of the table or graph.

Explain your reasoning and why the evidence supports your claim. Connect the data back to what you learned about potential benefits and costs of large traits used to attract mates.

Name
Did the data support Jerry and John's hypothesis? Use evidence to explain why or why not. If you feel the data are inconclusive, explain why.
Your next steps as a scientist: Science is an ongoing process. What new question(s) should be investigated to build on Jerry and John's research? How do your questions build on the research that has already been done?