

# DATA *Nugget*

## The case of the collapsing soil

Featured scientists: John Kominoski and Shelby Servais  
from Florida International University

### Research Background:

As winds blow through the large expanses of grass in the Florida Everglades, it looks like flowing water. This “river of grass” is home to a wide diversity of plants and animals, including both the American Alligator and the American Crocodile. The Everglades ecosystem is the largest subtropical wetland in North America. One third of Floridians rely on the Everglades for water. Unfortunately, this iconic wetland is threatened by rising sea levels caused by climate change. **Sea level rise** is caused by higher global temperatures leading to thermal expansion of water, land-ice melt, and changes in ocean currents.

With rising seas, one important feature of the Florida Everglades may change. There are currently large amounts of **carbon** stored in the wetland’s muddy soils. By holding carbon in the mud, coastal wetlands are able to help in the fight against climate change. However, under stressful conditions like being submersed in sea water, soil microbes increase respiration. During respiration, carbon stored in the soil is released as **carbon dioxide** (CO<sub>2</sub>), a greenhouse gas. As sea level rises, soil microbes are predicted to release stored carbon and contribute to the greenhouse effect, making climate change worse.

Shelby and John are ecologists who work in southern Florida. John became fascinated with the Everglades during his first visit 10 years ago and has been studying this unique ecosystem ever since. Shelby is interested in learning how climate change will affect the environment, and the Everglades is a great place to start! They are both very concerned with protecting the Everglades and other wetlands. Recently when John, Shelby, and their fellow scientists were out working in the Everglades they noticed something very strange. It looked like areas of the wetland were collapsing! What could be the cause of this strange event?



An area in the Florida Everglades where strange soil collapse has been observed.

John and Shelby thought it might have something to do with loss of carbon due to sea level rise. They wanted to test whether the collapsing soils were the result of increased microbial respiration, leading to loss of carbon from the soil, due to stressful conditions from sea level rise. They set out to test two particular aspects of sea water that might be stressful to microbes – salt and phosphorus.

**Phosphorus** is found in sea water and is a nutrient essential for life. However, too much phosphorus can lead to over enriched soils and change the way that microbes use carbon. Sea water also contains **salt**, which can stress soil microbes and kill plants when there is too much. Previous research has shown that both salt and phosphorus exposure on their own increase respiration rates of soil microbes.



Shelby collecting soil samples in the field.

To test their hypotheses, a team of ecologists in John's lab developed an experiment using soils from the Everglades. They collected soil from areas where the soil had collapsed and brought it into the lab. These soils had the microbes from the Everglades in them. Once in the lab, they put their soil and microbes into small vials and exposed them to 5 different concentrations of salt, and 5 different concentrations of phosphorus. The experiment crossed each level of the two treatments. This means they had soil in every possible combination of treatments – some with high salt and low phosphorus, some in low salt and high phosphorus, and so on. Their experiment ran for 5 weeks. At the end of the 5 weeks they measured the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> released from the soils.



The experimental setup. Each container has a different concentration of phosphorus and salt.

**Scientific Question:** How do salt and phosphorus affect the rate of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) released from the soil by microbial respiration?

**What is the hypothesis?** Find the two hypotheses in the Research Background and underline them. A hypothesis is a proposed explanation for an observation, which can then be tested with experimentation or other types of studies. Having two alternative hypotheses means that more than one mechanism may explain a given observation. Experimentation can determine if one, both, or neither hypotheses are supported.

Scientific Data:

Use the data below to answer the scientific question:

Salt concentration (ppt)	Phosphorus concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	CO <sub>2</sub> released ( $\mu\text{g CO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ soil h}^{-1}$ )
10	0	1.1
10	20	21.7
10	40	30
10	60	48.3
10	80	44.3
14	0	6.1
14	20	15.1
14	40	31.7
14	60	42.1
14	80	49.2
17	0	5.2
17	20	10.7
17	40	3.2
17	60	17.9
17	80	45.2
22	0	0
22	20	9.2
22	40	15.9
22	60	19.7
22	80	48.5
26	0	7.5
26	20	21.3
26	40	5.2
26	60	42.9
26	80	39.8



- **Salt concentration** is the amount of salt in the solution added to the soil. It is measured in parts per thousand (ppt), which is the number of salt molecules for every thousand water molecules. Ocean water is typically about 35 ppt.
- **Phosphorus concentration** is the amount of phosphorous in the solution added to the soil. It is measured in micrograms of phosphorus per liter ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ). This is the mass of phosphorus present in one liter of water.
- **CO<sub>2</sub> released** is the rate of carbon dioxide gas (CO<sub>2</sub>) released from the soil. It is measured in units of micrograms of CO<sub>2</sub> released per gram of soil per hour ( $\mu\text{g CO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). For example,  $1.1 \mu\text{g CO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  means that for every hour each gram of soil releases 1.1 micrograms of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere.

What data will you graph to answer the question?

Independent variable(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Dependent variable(s): \_\_\_\_\_

*Below are graphs of the data:* Identify any changes, trends, or differences you see in your graph. Draw arrows pointing out what you see and write one sentence describing what you see next to each arrow.



Name \_\_\_\_\_

*Interpret the data:*

Make a claim that answers the scientific question.

What evidence was used to write your claim? Reference specific parts of the table or graphs.

Explain your reasoning and why the evidence supports your claim. Connect the data back to what you learned about how microbes respond to environmental stresses, such as sea level rise.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Did the data support one, both, or neither of Shelby and John's two hypotheses? Use evidence to explain why or why not. If you feel the data were inconclusive, explain why

*Your next steps as a scientist:* Science is an ongoing process. What new question(s) should be investigated to build on Shelby and John's research? What future data should be collected to answer your question(s)?